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#### Abstract

BaBr}_{2} \cdot \mathrm{BaCl}_{2}, \quad \mathrm{BaBr}_{1.333} \mathrm{Cl}_{0.667}, \quad M_{r}=\) 282.312, Pnma, $a=8.2031$ (3), $b=4.8606$ (2), $c=$ 9.6359 (4) $\AA, \quad V=384.20 \AA^{3}, \quad Z=4, \quad D_{x}=$ $4.880 \mathrm{Mg} \mathrm{m}^{-3}, \quad \lambda=1.5500 \AA, \mu=0.023 \mathrm{~mm}^{-1}, \quad$| $T=$ |
| :--- | $298 \mathrm{~K} ; w R=0.0773$ for 330 reflections. The anions occupy two crystallographic sites in an arrangement such that the larger square-pyramidal site is filled only with bromine atoms; the smaller tetrahedral site is occupied randomly by both chlorine and bromine atoms. No evidence for ordering of the chlorine and bromine atoms in the tetrahedral site was found.


Experimental. Two separate batches of sample were prepared by fusing in alundum crucibles confined in high vacuum ( 1.33 mPa ) an intimately ground mixture of $2 \mathrm{BaBr}_{2} .1 \mathrm{BaCl}_{2}$. The two batches were subsequently ground together in an agate mortar. $\mathrm{BaCl}_{2}$ was purchased from Cerac, Inc., Milwaukee, WI; $\mathrm{BaBr}_{2}$ was prepared from BaO (Fisher Scientific Co.) by conventional $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Br} / \mathrm{HBr}$ bromination procedure. Both $\mathrm{BaCl}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{BaBr}_{2}$ were melted in alundum crucibles in high vacuum prior to use.

Data collected on the NBS, Gaithersburg, MD, five-detector neutron-diffractometer system in step-scan mode. Details of data collection and refinement procedure are presented in Table 1. Scattering lengths: $\mathrm{Ba}: \quad 5.25 ; \quad \mathrm{Br}: \quad 6.79 ; \mathrm{Cl}: \quad 9.579 \mathrm{fm}$. X-ray and neutron diffraction data revealed following extinctions: $0 k l, k+l=2 n+1 ; h k 0, h=2 n+1$. These are consistent with space groups Pnma and Pn2,a. Refinement undertaken initially in centrosymmetric space group.

Initial atomic parameters were those reported for BaBrCl (Hodorowicz, Hodorowicz \& Eick, 1983) and

Table 1. Data collection and refinement parameters

28 re containe
[20 range of each detector ( ${ }^{\circ}$ )]
Step-scan increment ( ${ }^{\circ}$ )
Number of steps
Number of contributing reflections
Absorption correction
Preferred orientation
Number of structure parameters
Number of segment/slope parameters
Total number of parameters
$R=\sum\left|Y_{i}(\mathrm{obs})-(1 / c) Y_{i}(\mathrm{calc})\right| / \sum\left|Y_{l}(\mathrm{obs})\right|^{*}$
$w R=\left[\sum w_{l}\left\{Y_{i}(\mathrm{obs})-(\mathrm{l} / \mathrm{c}) Y_{i}(\mathrm{calc})\right\}^{2}\right]$
$\left.\sum_{w_{l}\{ }\left\{Y_{i}(\mathrm{obs})\right\}^{2}\right]^{1 / 2} 0.0773$
$R_{\text {exp }}=\left[(n-p) / \sum w_{i}\left\{Y_{i}(o b s)\right\}^{2}\right]^{1 / 2} \quad 0.0497$
Half width $(H)$ parameters; $U, V, W$ $H=\left[U(\tan \theta-0.6)^{2}+V(\tan \theta-0.6)+W\right]^{1 / 2}$ Max shift/e.s.d.
Computer programs

Cylindrical, aluminium 17-122
[17-42, 37-62, 57-82, 77-102, 97-122]
0.05

2505
330
None
None
Non
21
$5 / 5$
5/5
36
0.0606

4178(151), 230(47), 716(11)
0.05

PREP, REFINE, DISTAN

* Definitions: $Y_{i}=$ background-corrected intensity at $2 \theta$ point $i$; $w_{i}=1 / Y_{i}$ (obs); $n=$ number of observations; $p=$ number of variable parameters; $H=$ full width of single reflection at half height in $0.01^{\circ} 2 \theta$.
lattice parameters determined from Guinier X-ray powder diffraction analysis of the specimen. Reflections were assigned Miller indices and background removed by program $\operatorname{PREP}$ (E. Prince, private communication). Structure refinement was carried out with program REFINE, a profile-fitting program (Rietveld, 1969) modified by E. Prince and adapted to run on a Vax 11/750 computer. Refinement began with lattice parameters and scale factors. After these had stabilized, half-width parameters $U, V$ and $W$ were refined. The barium atom was refined isotropically. Two anion positions were refined suksequently with anion site © 1986 International Union of Crystallography
occupancy variable subject to the constraints that the total bromine content equal that of the mixed composition ( $\mathrm{Br} / \mathrm{Ba}=1.333$ ) and that each anion site occupancy be unity. Isotropic thermal parameters and occupancy were refined in alternate cycles. Under these conditions, occupancy of anion site 1 refined to 1.009 (16) with $w R=0.0789$. Occupancy of anion site 2 was then 0.679 (16) chloride ion and 0.321 (16) bromide ion. Refinement process was repeated with all atoms anisotropic to determine if site-occupancy parameter would change. It did not. Occupancy was then removed as a variable; anion site 1 was refined with occupancy of 1.0 bromine atom and anion site 2 with occupancy of 0.6667 chlorine atom and 0.3333 bromine atom. Refinement was continued to convergence. These refined centrosymmetric coordinates were then converted to those required for non-centrosymmetric space group (No. 33), and refinement was begun again. The ' $y$ ' parameter of Ba was fixed at 0.25 , and with both anions isotropic the occupancy parameter of $\operatorname{Br}(2)$ was varied. Refinement converged when occupancy of anion site 1 was 1.05 (2) bromine atom, $w R=0.0780$. Since the occupancy parameter again indicated that anion site 1 contained only bromine atoms, occupancy was again removed as a variable, site 1 occupancy was set to 1.0 bromine atom; site 2 occupancy was set to 0.6667 chlorine and 0.3333 bromine atom. Both positions were converted anisotropic, and refinement continued to convergence. The ' $y$ ' parameters of anion sites 1 and 2 refined, respectively, to 0.231 (3) and 0.241 (4), $w R=0.0776$. On the basis of Hamilton's (1965) test, the hypothesis that the molecule is non-centrosymmetric can be rejected at the $95 \%$ confidence level.*

Another portion of the sample was examined by high-resolution electron microscopy. The sample was ground vigorously to create crystal fragments, which were then suspended in dry acetone and picked up by dipping a microscope grid covered with a holey carbon film into the suspension. These fragments were examined in a Philips 400T electron microscope maintained for high-resolution work to determine if the anions which occupied anion site 2 evidenced a further level of order at the microscopic level. Two primary zones were examined. The electron diffraction patterns of the crystal fragments did not evidence either the presence of superstructure reflections or of streaking. Thus, the occupancy of anion site 2 must be considered random.

Positional and equivalent isotropic thermal parameters for this material are presented in Table 2.

[^0]
## Table 2. Positional and equivalent isotropic thermal parameters ( $\AA^{2}$ ) for $2 \mathrm{BaBr}_{2} \cdot \mathrm{BaCl}_{2}$

The digit in parentheses indicates the e.s.d. of the least significant digit.

|  | Position | Site occupancy | $x$ | $y$ | $z$ | $B_{\text {eq }}{ }^{*}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ba | 4(c) | 1.0 | 0.2603 (4) | $0 \cdot 25$ | 0.1203 (3) | 1.43 (6) |
| $\mathrm{Br}(1)$ | 4(c) | 1.0 | 0.0293 (3) | 0.25 | 0.8292 (3) | 1.59 (4) |
| ${ }_{\text {Cr }}(2)$ | 4(c) | 0.3333 | 0.1446 (2) | 0.25 | 0.4329 (2) | 1.38 (5) |
| $\mathrm{Cl}(2)$ | 4(c) | 0.6667 ) |  | 0.25 | 0.4329 (2) | 1.38 (5) |
| $*^{*} B_{\mathrm{eq}}=\frac{1}{3}\left(B_{11}+B_{22}+B_{33}\right)$. |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 3. Bond distances ( $\AA$ ) and e.s.d.'s in $2 \mathrm{BaBr}_{2} \cdot \mathrm{BaCl}_{2}$

| $\mathrm{Ba}-\mathrm{Br}(1)$ | $3.385(4)$ | $\mathrm{Ba}-\mathrm{Cl} / \mathrm{Br}(2)$ | $3.158(4)$ |
| ---: | :--- | :---: | :--- |
| $2 \times \mathrm{Ba}-\mathrm{Br}(1)$ | $3.433(3)$ | $2 \times \mathrm{Ba}-\mathrm{Cl} / \mathrm{Br}(2)$ | $3.126(2)$ |
| $2 \times \mathrm{Ba}-\mathrm{Br}(1)$ | $3.597(4)$ | $2 \times \mathrm{Ba}-\mathrm{Cl} / \mathrm{Br}(2)$ | $3.194(4)$ |
|  |  | $2 \times \mathrm{Ba}-\mathrm{Ba}$ | $4.803(3)$ |





Fig. 1. Rietveld line-profile fit $(-)$ of the observed intensity data over the region $17 \leq 2 \theta \leq 82^{\circ}$. The difference between the calculated line and the observed data is plotted underneath on a separate scale.

Bond distances and their e.s.d.'s (program DISTAN; E. Prince, private communication) are presented in Table 3. A plot of the observed and calculated intensity data over the region $17 \leq 2 \theta \leq 82^{\circ}$ is presented in Fig. 1. An examination of the plot output indicates a good fit of the data throughout the entire spectrum. A Hamilton's (1965) test of the $R$ values obtained with and without all thermal parameters anisotropic ( 0.0773 vs 0.0786 , respectively) indicated the isotropic case could be rejected at the 0.995 confidence level.

Related literature. The positional parameters are in excellent agreement with those derived for BaBrCl by a Rietveld line-profile fit of X-ray powder diffraction data (Hodorowicz, Hodorowicz \& Eick, 1983), substantiating the previous report that even though a Rietveld treatment of X-ray powder diffraction data may give erroneous thermal parameters, it yields accurate positional parameters (Malmros \& Thomas, 1977). The positional parameters differ somewhat from
those expected from a comparison with parameters reported for $\mathrm{BaCl}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{BaBr}_{2}$ (Brackett, Brackett \& Sass, 1963).
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#### Abstract

HgTeO}_{3}, M_{r}=376 \cdot 2\), triclinic, $P \overline{1}, a=$ 6.139 (1),$\quad b=7.361$ (8), $\quad c=7.459$ (3) $\AA, \quad \alpha=$ 84.76 (3), $\quad \beta=65.72$ (4), $\quad \gamma=87.11$ (2) ${ }^{\circ}, \quad V=$ $305.94 \AA^{3}, \quad Z=4, \quad D_{x}=8.154 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~cm}^{-3}, \quad \lambda(\mathrm{Ag} K \alpha)=$ $0.56083 \AA, \mu=333.4 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}, F(000)=624$, room temperature, final $R=0.065$ for 2318 independent observed reflections. The structure consists of slightly distorted trigonal $\mathrm{TeO}_{3}$ pyramids (mean $\mathrm{Te}-\mathrm{O}$ distance $1.89 \AA$ ) with single connective bonds to each of three adjacent pyramids $[\mathrm{Te}-\mathrm{O}$ distances 2.54 (2)$3 \cdot 13$ (2) $\AA$ ]; with these longer bonds a framework of edge-sharing distorted $\mathrm{TeO}_{6}$ octahedra is formed, in which the mercury atoms are incorporated. The resulting $\mathrm{Hg}-\mathrm{O}$ coordination polyhedra are an octahedron [ $\mathrm{Hg}-\mathrm{O} \quad 2.07(2)-2.74$ (2) $\AA$ ] and a monocapped trigonal prism [ $\mathrm{Hg}-\mathrm{O} 2 \cdot 15(2)-2.94$ (2) $\AA$ ], both distorted.


0108-2701/86/070917-02\$01.50

Experimental. Colourless single crystals prepared by annealing a mixture of $\mathrm{HgO}+\mathrm{TeO}_{2}$ in an evacuated quartz ampoule for two days at 770 K . Irregularshaped crystal of $0.0032 \mathrm{~mm}^{3}$ selected; $D_{m}$ not measured. Enraf-Nonius CAD-4 diffractometer; graphite-monochromatized $\mathrm{Ag} K \alpha$ radiation; lattice parameters from refinement of 16 reflections in range $9<\theta<23^{\circ}$; scan width $1.2^{\circ}, \omega-2 \theta$ scan mode; max. $\sin \theta / \lambda=0.837 \AA^{-1} ; h k l$ range: $h 0$ to $12, k-12$ to 12, $l-11$ to 12. SHELX76 (Sheldrick, 1976) and XRAY systems (Stewart, Machin, Dickinson, Ammon, Heck \& Flack, 1976); two standard reflections: no significant variation; 3828 total reflections, 3015 independent, 2318 with $I>3 \sigma(I) ; R_{\text {int }}=0.061$ (on $F$, 639 contributors); corrections for Lorentzpolarization, absorption (numerical integration with subprogram ABSORB: $A^{*} 9.92$ to 44.58 ) and ex© 1986 International Union of Crystallography


[^0]:    * Tables of positional and anisotropic thermal parameters, and observed and calculated intensity data have been deposited with the British Library Lending Division as Supplementary Publication No. SUP 42817 ( 10 pp .). Copies may be obtained through The Executive Secretary, International Union of Crystallography, 5 Abbey Square, Chester CHI 2HU, England.

